# Right of Refusal in EMS

Betty Yang, MD EMS Fellow University of Washington

**UW** Medicine



# Right of Refusal in EMS

- Introduction
- Legal duty to act
- Capacity
- Documentation



# Right of Refusal in EMS

- Introduction
- Legal duty to act
- Capacity
- Documentation



### Hackman vs AMR April 2004



### Introduction

- Most dangerous EMS calls
- Up to 30% calls



# Liability

• Definition: legal responsibility for injury or damages

2) such Person and its Subsidiaries, taken ary their debts are taking into acc tounts of carbon of the properties of the

# Medical Negligence

- Four distinct elements must be shown

  - Duty of care
     Failure to meet duty of care
     Damages

  - Causation



### This is how to get in trouble

- Incomplete assessment
- Missing signs or symptoms of major illness
- Inadequate documentation
- Negative outcome



| _ |  |
|---|--|
| J |  |

#### What do we know about refusals?

- Scandinavian group systematic review of 67 articles world-wide
- General non-conveyance rates: 3.7 to 93.7%
   Hypoglycemia 12.2 84.3%
   Falls 11 56%
   Pediatrics 13.2 27.7%
   Opiate overdose 6.0 77.0%
- Most often had neurological or trauma related complaint
- Vulnerable patients
- children and elderly, significantly high representation in refusals
- At < 24hrs, 6.1% patients re-enter EMS system
- Within 48 hrs, 19% visit Emergency Department

### Right of Refusal in EMS

- Introduction
- Legal duty to act
- Capacity
- Documentation



### Legal Duty to Act as EMS provider



- Respond to call
- Medical Assessment
- Provide care
- Transport, when transport is warranted

| Medi | cal A | ssess | ment | t |
|------|-------|-------|------|---|
|      |       |       |      |   |

- Document reason for 911 call
- Document reason the patient gives you
- Vital signs
- Evaluate patient social situation, environment, and safety
- Full exam with pertinent positives and negatives

#### Provide care

- Education about condition
- Treatment options
- Encourage patient to contact 911 if anything changes
- Provide informed consent
- Respect patient autonomy

# Right of Refusal in EMS

- Introduction
- Legal duty to act
- Capacity
- Documentation



| Capacity | Communicate Recall Apply information |
|----------|--------------------------------------|
|          | Possible risks and benefits          |

Who cannot refuse care?



Barriers to assessing ability to refuse care?

- Language barrier
- Unknown baseline
- Cultural barrier
- Drug and/or alcohol intoxication
- Domestic abuse/violence



| Red Flags that Decisional Capacity May b | $\epsilon$ |
|--|------------|
| Impaired                                 |            |

- Denial of medical conditions or possibility of adverse outcome.
- Drug or alcohol intoxication
- Confusion at any point during the intervi-

- Frequent reversals of decisions
   Any behavior that suggests the patient is a danger to self or others
- Emotional upset

- Fear of legal, economic, or social repercuss

# Right of Refusal in EMS

- Introduction
- Legal duty to act
- Capacity
- Documentation



### Documentation

- Duty to act fulfilled
- Patient capacity and competence
- Informed consent



#### Documentation

- Retrospective review of all EMS calls during 2018 in small agency in Ohio
- Population 174,000
- 4147 EMS calls total
- 3280 EMS patient contact
- 492 cases (15%) with refusal of care



#### Documentation

- 492 cases (15%) with refusal of care
- Subgroup 50 randomly selected EMRs evaluated
- Most common conditions:
  - trauma or MVC 58%
  - syncope 20%
- 4 elements of capacity
  - Ability to communicate 88%
  - Recall, Application, Risk/Benefits only total 6%



### Current Standard of Practice

- Written forms
- Verbal communication
- Communication from medical control

| _  |        |      |     | Γ.         |      |
|----|--------|------|-----|------------|------|
| 12 | $\nu$  | -    | മ   | $\nu \sim$ | ints |
| ıa | $\sim$ | וטוו | 110 | ГΟ         | HILO |

- Duty to act fulfilled
- Patient capacity and competency
  - 1. Communicate
  - 2. Recall
  - 3. Apply and appreciate information
  - 4. Possible risks and benefits
- Informed consent



### References

- Becker TK, Gausche-Hill M, Aswegan AL, et al. Ethical challenges in Emergency Medical Services: controversies and recommendations. *Prehosp Disaster Med*. 2013;28(5):488-497. doi:10.1017/S1049023X13008728
- Ebben RHA, Vloet LCM, Speijers RF, et al. A patient-safety and professional perspective on non-conveyance in ambulance care: A systematic review. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2017;25(1):1-20. doi:10.1186/s13049-017-0409-6
- Brenner JM, Aswegan AL, Vearrier LE, Basford JB, Iserson K V. The Ethics of Real-Time EMS Direction: Suggested Curricular Content. *Prehosp Disaster Med*. 2018;33(2):201-212. doi:10.1017/S1049023X18000110
- http://thelegalguardian.com/hackman-v-amr/